

# **Nile Valley University Publications**

# **Nile Journal for Agricultural Sciences (NJAS)**

(ISSN: 1585 – 5507) Volume 07, No. 01, 2022 http://www.nilevalley.edu.sd



# Effect of Acacia ampliceps Shelterbelt System and Water Use on Growth and Forage Yield of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*) Cultivars in High Terrace Soil

Medani Ibrahim Adlan and Dalia Abdalhafeez Ahmed

Hudieba Reasarch Station, Edamer Agricultural Research Corporation, Sudan Corresponding Author: tabgga@yahoo.com

#### **Abstract**

The study was conducted at Elmukabrab scheme in River Nile State. Soil was low in nitrogen and of few organic meters. during the years 2012/13 and 2013/14 with the objective of investigating the effect of Acacia ampliceps shelterbelt and water use in rows wide 5 m between hedge rows and 3 m spaces between trees on growth and yield of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) as forage crop . Treatments consisted of heavy pruned Acacia ampliceps shelterbelts with light intensity of about 60 to 65% compared with control (light intensity 100%). Heavy pruning was done to increase incoming radiation measured by solar meter in two different seasons. Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replicates. The plot size was 6×5 m.. Results revealed that in both seasons Barley forage yield under shelterbelt was highly significant, compared with the control. Barley fresh and dry forage yield under shelterbelt was increased by 46, 42% in the first season and by 41, 59% in the second season respectively compared with the control. In addition, water applied in shelterbelts with barley was measured. Water consumption differed (p<0.001) between shelterbelt and mono-cropping systems. Shelterbelts plots consumed less water (739m³) than the control (883 m³). Water was saved in Acacia ampliceps shelterbelt by 23 and 26% for barley cultivars in the first and second seasons, respectively.

**Keywords:** Acacia ampliceps, pruning, radiation, Barley, solar meter, water use

# تأثير نظام زراعة ممرات الاحزمة الشجرية المكونة من أشجار الأمبلسيبس على نمو وإنتاجية الشعير كمحصول علفي وعلي مياه الري بولاية نهر النيل – السودان

مدنى إبراهيم عدلان ، داليا عبد الحفيظ أحمد

هيئة البحوث الزر اعية، محطة بحوث الحديبة-الدامر

#### لمستخلص

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمشروع المكابراب الزراعي بولاية نهر النيل و تتميز المنطقة بمناخ شبه صحراوي وتربة منخفضة النتروجين والمادة العضوية في موسمي 2013/12 و2013/ 14 بهدف: معرفة تأثير نظام زراعة ممرات الأحزمة الشجرية المكونة من أشجار الأمبليسبس على نمو وإنتاجية الشعير كمحصول علفي ومياه الري- تم تقلييم شجرة الأمبلسبس (تقليم الأفرع الجانبية على إرتفاع 3.5 من سطح الأرض وثلث تاج الأشجار) بحيث أصبح الإشعاع تحت ظل أشجار الحزام حوالي 60-65 % تقريباً، مقارنة بالشاهد (الإشعاع 100%) تم قياسه بجهاز قياس الضوء (سولميتر) في الموسمين، أوضحت النتائج إزدياد إنتاجية الشعير الأخضر والجاف كمحصول علفي داخل ممرات الحزام الشجري معنوياً في الموسمين مقارنة بالشاهد حيث كانت الزيادة بنسبة 46 ، 44% في الموسم الأول و 41 ، 59% في الموسم الثاني على التوالي. كذلك وجد أن هنالك فرق معنوي في إستهلاك مياه ري الشعير كمحصول علفي بين نظام زراعة ممرات الحزام الشجري والشاهد، إستهلك حوالي 739 متر مكعب من المياه في الزراعة بين ممرات الحزام الشجري مقارنة بطالي الأمبليسبس مقارنة بحوالي 883 متر مكعب إستخدمت لري الشاهد. وفر ما مقداره 23 و 26% في ممرات الحزام الشجري مقارنة بالشاهد في الموسمين الأول و الثاني على التوالي.

كلمات مفتاحية: أمبلسيبس، تقليم، إشعاع، الشعير، سولميتر، المياه المستهلكة.

#### Introduction

The northern states (River Nile and Northern state ) lie in the desert ecological zone (75-300mm rain fall) between lat.16 and 22 N and long. 25; 30 and 34 E, and severely affected by desertification processes. Particularly wind erosion. Wind erosion is the predominant desertification process in the Northern state. Wind erodibility of soils (WE) is the main indicator of wind erosion (Mukhtar and Ganawa ,2009). Desertification in Northern Sudan is a very serious problem threatening the agricultural land and the existence of people who depend on agriculture for their livelihood. Sand encroachment is the most important element that directly affects soil by causing strong erosion hazards and endangers all valuable agricultural land resulting in a continual decline in the area of cultivated crops in northern Sudan. One of the main effects of forest, shelterbelts and agroforestry on microclimate is on solar radiation, since the sun's rays bring not only light but also heat, (Shapo et al., 2007). In Africa feed shortage is among the few most critical problems of livestock farming. The grazing lands are gradually shrinking in size due to expansion of crop farming to satisfy the food needs of the increasing human population (Kechero, 2008). In countries which are characterized by long cold winters, clipping of barley was reported to increase tiller density (ELshatnawi and Haddad, 2004). Up to date, only two types of forage (Abu Sabeen and Alfalfa) occupy around 95% of the area cropped to forage crops in Khartoum state (Ministry of Agriculture, Khartoum state, 2007) which resemble situation of River Nile and Northern state. There is a pressing need to diversify the present production system with variable forage types of a

high-yielding and high quality forage crops suited to Sudan's condition. Barley is grown for many purposes, but the majority of all barley is used for animal feed, human consumption, or malting (Kling, 2004; Kent, 1983) and also used for medical purpose (Ceccarelli and Grando, 1996). In Sudan, barley is mainly produced in limited areas in the northern states for grain and forage production and farmers usually grow local genotype. Barley is reported to give high yield of good quality forage in a single cut in Gezira scheme (Khair *et al.*, 2001 and Salih, et al, 2006).

*Acacia ampliceps* an exotic tree released by the Agricultural Research Corporation (ARC), used as shelterbelts in River Nile State at Mukabrab irrigation scheme in agroforestry research programme during 2006.

The objectives of this study were to assess the effects of *Acacia ampliceps* shelterbelts grown in rows wide 5 m between hedge rows and 3 m spaces between trees on growth and yield of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) as forage crop in addition to water use productivity (IWP) of shelterbelt trees and Barley as forage crop yield production.

#### Materials and methods

### **Site study**

The experiment was carried out during two seasons, 2012/13and 2013/14 in River Nile State at Mukabrab Irrigated scheme. The Experimental site lies in semi-desert climatic zone between latitudes  $17^{\circ}26$  and  $17^{\circ}35$  N and longitudes  $33^{\circ}57$  and  $34^{\circ}08$  E; about 10 km south east of Ed Damer town. The soil of the experimental site is non-saline and non-sodic with alkaline soil reaction (pH = 8.2). Low in both organic carbon (0.046%) and nitrogen content (116 ppm). CaCO<sub>3</sub> (8.3). phosphorus content is 0.83 ppm. Soil under shelterbelt is more rich in total nitrogen, phosphorus and organic carbon compared to the monocropping.

#### **Experiment components**

#### Acacia ampliceps shelterbelt

Acacia ampliceps Seedlings were raised at Gezira Research Station nursery, three-month-old seedlings (35 – 40 cm length) were transplanted in 2006. The seedlings were grown at 3 meter in-row spacing and 5 meter intera rows spacing. Each hedge row was one km long and arranged in an east-west direction. A shelterbelt was composed of four rows. Heavy pruning was done by cutting all branches at 3 to 3.5 m above ground level of the main stem and one third of the tree canopy.

## **Crop management and practices**

Land under shelterbelt and control plots was ploughed, harrowed and levelled. Barley was planted in lines (20 cm apart). Seed rate was 96 kg/ha. Nitrogen (46 % urea) was applied at the rate of 86 kg N/ha) by broadcasting in split dose given after second and fifth irrigation.

#### **Data collection**

# **Crop parameters**

Forge crop yield and yield components were assessed at the end of the season as follows: Fresh and dry yield (ton/ha), plant height (cm), number of plant/ $M^2$ , number of tiller/ $M^2$ , fresh and dry weight of leaves and stem of five plants (g) and leaves to stem percentage.

#### Water applied

Applied irrigation water (m<sup>3</sup>) for each plot in each irrigation event was measured directly in the field by a current meter using the following equation:

$$I = A \times T \times V \quad (1)$$

Where, I = applied irrigation water (m<sup>3</sup>), A = cross section area (m<sup>2</sup>), T = total time (s) and V = velocity (m s<sup>-1</sup>) which was derived from the equation:

$$V = 0.008 + 0.2667n$$
 (2)

Where,  $n = \text{revolutions per second (rev s}^{-1})$  obtained from the formula:

$$n = \frac{\text{number of pulse counts}}{\text{times in second}}$$
 (3)

## Water productivity

For wheat crop irrigation water productivity (IWP) values were calculated as the ratio between the actual crop yield (Ya) and total amount of irrigation water applied (I):

$$IWP = Ya/I$$

### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was carried out using GENSTAT statistical package the data obtained were analyzed for each season separately, and then combined analysis was run for the two growing seasons.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Effect of Acacia ampliceps shelterbelt pruned on barley forage yield

In both seasons barley as forage crop show that fresh yield, dry yield, plant height, number of plant, fresh weight of five plant leaves, dry weight of five plant leaves, fresh weight of five plant stem, dry weight of five plant stem and leaves/ stem ratio were significantly, higher (p = 0.001) under *Acacia ampliceps* shelterbelt compared to control. Fresh and dry yields were increased by 46, 42% and 41, 59% under *ampliceps* shelterbelt trees compared to control in the first and second seasons, respectively (Table 1). It was expected that competition for light will be

from major factor affecting production. However, it was observed that the crop under shelterbelt trees perform better with (60 - 65%) transmitted radiation. The most benefit of shelterbelts is protecting adjacent soil and crops from injury of the erosive wind. Although shelterbelts occupy valuable land of production and compete for moisture and nutrients with crops. Modified microclimate might lead to an increase in the barley growth and yield component, scientific research in other parts of the temperate regions shows that improved yields adjacent to shelterbelts can help to compensate loss in production due to reduced area (Yuhai et al., 2012). Also, Dalia et al., (2020) mentioned that sorghum and cowpea as fodder crops increased under alley cropping system by 81.8, 62.4 and by 63.6, 60.2 % over control under *Sesbania formosa* and *Sesbinia sesban* respictivley. Also Adlan *et al.*, (2019) mention that the yield of groundnut increased by 14 and 6% in the Ampliceps- alley and A. stenophylla-alley, respectively and maize increased by 27and 15% in the *Acacia ampliceps*- alley and *Acacia stenophylla*-alley, respectively, in additional water applied for both ampliceps and stenophylla- alley cropped with groundnut and maize water consumed less water (571m $^3$ /ha) than the control (805m $^3$ /ha), water was saved in the ampliceps-alley by 34 and 33% and in stenophylla-alley by 24 and 24% for groundnut and maize, respectively.

With respect to the interaction effect under shelterbelt and control treatments, though in the both seasons combined analysis, yield and yield components of barley as forage crop gave significantly higher results, except on number of tillers (m²) (Table 2). Yield of sorghum in the alley plots was increased by 195% over the control plots as a result of microclimatic improvement in the alleys (Shapo, *et al* 2007).

#### Water use:

Water use consumption differed significantly (p=0.001) between heavy pruned *Acacica ampliceps* shelterbelts and mono-cropping systems. Shelterbelt plots consumed less water ( $739m^3$ ) than the control ( $883m^3$ ) as presented in Table (4).

Saving in irrigation water varied within different treatments, water was saved in the shelterbelt by 23 and 26% for barley cultivars in the first and second seasons, respectively. In both seasons irrigation water use productivity of barley as forage crop growth under shelterbelt was high compared with the control (Table 5 and 6). Shapo et al. (2011) reported that *Acacia stenophylla* resulted in the highest saving of irrigation water and considerably increased 40% sesame seed yield, sesame seed yield was reduced by 46% under Acacia ampliceps- alley cropping in the semi-desert region of the northern Sudan.

#### **Conclusions**

Acacia ampliceps shelterbelt has seemed to create a good and conducive environment to increase yields. The investigation was a significantly increased in barley fodder yields grown under acacia ampliceps shelterbelt if spaced 3 meters between trees and 5 meters between hedges rows with 60-65% light. Generally shelterbelts, which integrates crops and or livestock with trees and shrubshas a great potential in the area as it provide farms with multiple benefits and better water use.

Table 1. Yield and yield components of Barley fodder under shelterbelt and control plots during 2012/13 and 2013/14 season.

Season 1	2012/13											
Treatment	Fresh yield ton/ha	Fresh yield% as Co	Dry yield ton/ha	Dry yield% as Co	Plant height (cm)	N. of plant (M²)	N. of tillers (M²)	FreshW.5 p. L(g)	Dry W.5 p. L (g)	Fresh W.5 p.S (g)	Dry W.5 p.S (g)	L&S Ratio %
Shelterbelt	13.3	46	4.7	42	70	75	235	49	14	55	13	52
Control	9.3		3.3		48	58	265	18	9	21	10	47
Sig.L	*		*		*	*	No.s	*	*	*	*	*
S.E	0.5		0.1		3	1.2	11	2.4	0.6	2	0.4	0.4
C.V%	8		6		10	3	8	12	9	9	6	2
Season 2		2013/ 14										
Shelterbelt	12.7	41	4.3	59	65	70	227	54.1	13	52	12	53
Control	9		2.7		48	53	260	18	8	20	9	46
Sig.L	*		*		*	*	No.s	*	**	**	*	*
S.E	0.5		0.1		2.4	2.3	11	2.6	0.2	1	0.2	0.6
C.V%	8		6		7	7	7	13	4	5	4	2

Co= Control, N = Number, W.5 P. L = Weight of five plant leaves, W.5 P. S = Weight of five plant stem and L&S = Leaves and stem percentage.

Table 2. Combined analysis of yield and yield components of Barley fodder under shelterbelt and control plots during 2012/13 and 2013/14 and season

Season	1			2		an	Sia I	S.E±	C.V%
Treatments	Shelterbelt	Control	Shelterbelt	Control	Shelterbelt	Control	Sig.L	S.E±	C. V 70
Fresh yield	13.3	9.3	12.7	9	13	9.2	**	0.5	8
(ton/ha)	15.5	7.3	12.7		13	7.2		0.5	O
Dry yield	4.7	3.3	4.3	2.7	4.5	3	**	0.1	6
(ton/ha)	1.7	3.3	1.5	2.7	1.5	3		0.1	O
Plant height	70	48	65	48	68	48	**	2	9
(cm)	70	40	03	40	00	70		2	
Number of	75	58	70	53	73	56	**	2	5
plant(M <sup>2</sup> )	75	36	70		7.5	30		2	3
Number of	235	265	227	260	231	263	No.s	11	8
tillers (M <sup>2</sup> )	233	203	221	200	231	203	110.5	11	8
Fresh weight five	54	21	52	20	53	21	**	1.1	7
plant leaves (g)	34	21	32	20		21		1.1	,
Dry weight five	14.3	8.7	13.3	8	13.8	8.3	**	0.3	7
Plant leaves (g)	14.5	0.7	15.5	8	13.6	6.5		0.5	,
Fresh weight five	54	18	49	17	52	18	**	1.8	12
plant stem (g)	34	10	49	17	32	10		1.0	12
Dry weight five	13	10	12	9	12.5	9.7	**	0.2	9
plant stem (g)	13	10	12	7	12.3	7.1		0.2	<i>J</i>
Leaves & stem	53	46	53	46	53	46	**	0.4	2
Ratio %	) ) )	40	33	40	33	40		0.4	<u> </u>

Table 3. Irrigation water applied  $(m^3/ha)$  for Barley fodders under shelterbelt and control plots in during (2012/13 and 2013/14).

Season	2012/13									
Month Treatments	December	January	January	January	February	Total irrigation water (M³/ha)				
Shelterbelt	656	533	500	622	711	3022				
Control	800	689	656	711	867	3723				
Sig.L	No.s	*	*	No.s	*					
S.E±	44	8	21	39	21					
C.V%	11	2	6	10	5					
Season			2013	3/ 14						
Shelterbelt	655	567	533	722	767	3244				
Control	811	767	700	911	911	4100				
Sig.L	No.s	*	*	**	*					
S.E±	42	24	27	8	8					
C.V%	10	6	7	3	2					

Table 4. Combine analysis of irrigation water applied (m³/ha) for Barley fodders under shelterbelt and control plot seasons (2012/13 and 2013/14).

Month	December		January		January		January		February		
Treatment	Shelterbelt	Control									
Season 1	656	800	533	689	500	656	622	711	711	856	
Season 2	655	811	567	767	533	700	722	911	767	911	
Mean	656	806	550	728	517	678	672	811	739	883	
Sig.L	*	*		**		**		*		**	
S.E±	30	30		13		17		20		11	
L,s,d	118		49		67		78		43		
CV.	10		5		7		7		4		

Table 5. Amount of irrigation water applied  $(m^3/ha)$  of Barley in shelterbelt and control plots in two seasons (2012/13 and 2013/14).

Season	First season	(2012/13)	<b>Second season (2013/14)</b>			
Treatments	Water applied (m³/ha mean)	Water saved as% of control	Water applied (m³/ha mean)	Water saved as% of control		
Shelterbelt	604	23	649	26		
Control	744		820			
Sig.l	*		*			
S.E±	17		19			
l.s.d	101		115			
C.V	4		5			

Table 6. Irrigation water use productivity (m³/ha) of Barley fodder under shelterbelt and control plots season 2014.

Season 1	2012/ 13							
	Fresh yield		Dry yield					
Treatments	Shelterbelt	Control	Shelterbelt	Control				
Yield(ton/ha)	13.6	9.3	4.7	3.3				
Water applied (m³/ha)	3022	3723	3022	3723				
Irrigation water use productivity (m³/ha)	0.01	0.002	0.002	0.001				
Season 2	2013/ 14							
Yield(ton/ha)	12.7	9	4.3	2.7				
Water applied (m³/ha)	3244	4100	3244	4100				
Irrigation water use productivity (m³/ha)	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.0007				

#### References

Adlan, M.I; Shapo, H.E.; Adam H; ELhashimi, A. (2019). The effect of alley cropping microclimateand water use on growth and yield of groundnut and maize on clay soil. Nile Journal for Agricultural Sciences (N.JAS) ISSN:1585-5507. Volume 04, NO.01, 2019.

Ceccarelli, S.; Grando, S. (1996) *Hordeum valgare* L. In: Grubben GH, Soetjipto Partohardjono (Eds.), Plant Resources of South East Asia, No.10. Cereals. Backhuys publishers, Leiden. pp. 99-102.

Dalia, A. A. (2020). Effect of Alley Cropping Microclimate on Litter Decomposition and Yield of Some Field Crops in Northern Sudan. PhD thesis in Sudan Academy of Sciences, Sudan.

ELshatnawi, M. K. J.; Haddad, N. I. (2004). Assessing barley, (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) response to clipping in the semi-arid Mediterranean climate. Natural Resources and Environment of Department. Faculty of Agriculture, Jordan University of Science and Technology. P O Box 3030, Irbid, Jordan.

Kechero, Y. (2008). Effect of seed proportions of Rhodes grass (*Chloris gayana*) and White sweet clover (*Melilotus alba*) at sowing on agronomic characteristics and nutritional quality. Livestock Research for Rural Development 20(2) 2008.

Kent NL (1983) Technology of cereals crops. An introduction for students of Food Science and Agriculture, (3rd edn). pp. 154-163.

Khair, M. A.; Krause, M, R.; Salih; S. A.; Babiker, S. A. (2001). Short note: Barley, (*Hordeum vulgare*. L), a potential winter forage in the Sudan. Sudan. J. Agric. Res. 3, 85-87.

Ministry of Agriculture, Khartoum state, annual report (2007).

Mukhtar ,M.; Ganawa, E. (2009). Spatial Variation of Wind Erodibility of Soil from the Northern State Sudan .Sudan Journal of Desertification Research. 1 (1): 56-70.

Salih, S. A; Khair, M. A. M,; Gangi, A. S. (2006). Effect of seed rate and sowing date on growth and forage yield of barley in the Gezira (Sudan) U. of K. J. Agric. Sci. 14 (2), 252-264.

Shapo, H.E.; Adam, H.S. (2007). Modification of microclimate and associated food crop productivity in alley cropping system in northern Sudan. In Toward Agrofrestry Design, Chapter7; page 99-111.

Shapo. H. E.; Adam, H.S.; Ibrahim, O.H. (2011). Effect of Microclimate Modification on Growth and Yield of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) in a semi-desert Region of Northern Sudan.

Yuhai , ; Hongyun Li,; Hongfeng, (2012). Effect of shelterbelts on winter wheat yields in sanded farmland of north-western Shandong province, China. Agriculture & Environment Vol.10 (3&4): 1399-1403. 2012